

Association between Style of Living and General Health in Suburban Women: A Cross-sectional Study in South East of Iran

SAMIRA KHAYAT¹, MAHROKH DOLATIAN², ALI NAVIDIAN³, AMIR KASAEIAN⁴, ZOHREH MAHMOODI⁵

ABSTRACT

Introduction: In developing countries, suburban population is increasing. But, their health issues are rarely considered in studies, inappropriate socioeconomic and environmental factors in their neighbourhood can affect their lifestyle and health. Compared to men, women have fewer social resources and are more susceptible to an unfavourable environment in general.

Aim: To investigate the association between lifestyle and general health status in suburban women.

Materials and Methods: This correlation cross-sectional study assessed the lifestyle and general health among suburban women living in Shirabad neighbourhood, Zahedan, Iran. Randomized one stage cluster sampling was used and 132 people participated in the study. Lifestyle Questionnaire (LSQ) and General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHQ-28) were used for data collection. For data analysis, descriptive statistical

INTRODUCTION

Lifestyle is one of the most important factors affecting health. Lifestyle is a combination of individual and group activities and habits, that are influenced by cultural, socioeconomic factors and personality of individuals [1]. Healthy lifestyle will lead to health promotion, compliance with the stresses of life, improvement of the quality of life and reduction of health problems and their consequences [2]. Socioeconomic and environmental status of person are associated with lifestyle, and health. Studies in developed countries have shown that social and environmental factors such as income, neighbourhood, and ethnicity lead to differences in individual levels, such as education and employment. Individual differences in the use of healthy behaviours and their outcomes are effective [3].

Socioeconomic factors have changed during urbanization and globalization [4]. In recent years, urbanization has significantly increased [5-8]. One of the products of urbanization was suburbanization phenomenon [9]. Today, in poor countries rapid rise of suburbia is a serious challenge for communities and policy makers [10]. Iran is involved with challenge of suburbanization. The number of suburbanization in Iran was estimated to be 10,280,270 people in 2015. Zahedan is a city in South-East Iran that faced the problem of suburbanization. In 2015, 422149 people were inhabited in suburban areas of Zahedan [11].

There are few studies in health and lifestyle field of suburban population. Studies in India and Nepal showed unhealthy lifestyle (such as: smoking, alcohol consumption, sedentary life, irregular consumption of vegetables and fruits and high intake of salt) which is very common in suburban population [12,13]. Taka T and Tragler A showed inappropriate lifestyle in suburban women in Mumbai. A methods, Pearson's correlation coefficient and multivariate regression analysis were performed.

Results: Mean score of LSQ was 100.23 ± 20.45 and mean GHQ-28 score was 28.46 ± 16.41 . There was a significant negative relationship between lifestyle and GHQ-28 total score (r=-0.619, p=0.01). Multivariate regression analysis showed among predictor variables, education, sports and fitness and psychological health components had significant relationship with GHQ-28 (p=0.003, p=0.009, p=0.001 respectively).

Conclusion: This study demonstrated suburban women have poor lifestyle and health status. Also, it showed a significant relationship between education, sports and fitness and psychological health components and health. As a result, implementation of programs to modify lifestyle especially in the field of sports and improving the educational level could be useful in promoting women's health.

Keywords: Education, Life style, Mental health, Sports

92% of participants in their study did not exercise [14]. A study in Ghana showed general health in suburban women is significantly lower than urban women [15].

The socioeconomic situation of suburbanites (for example: poverty, inadequate housing, lack of security, unemployment and low income) and poor infrastructure can hinder the acquisition of healthy lifestyle and lead to harmful effects on the health [9,16]. Compared to men, women have fewer social and environment resources as a result; women's health can be affected by an unfavourable environment [17]. Considering that few studies have addressed the problems of suburban women as a result, more studies are needed in vulnerable groups.

To our knowledge, association between lifestyle and health in suburban population has not been yet investigated in Iran. Despite the fact that women are half population, there is no information about the lifestyle and health of suburban women in Zahedan. The aim of this study was assessment of health status and lifestyle and determination of association between lifestyle and general health in suburban women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was a correlation cross-sectional study in suburban women of Shirabad, Zahedan, Iran. Morgan table was used to determine the sample size [18]. The sample size was calculated as 132 people. This study was conducted between August and November 2016. In order to select a sample, the single stage cluster sampling method was used. A comprehensive health service center was randomly selected from among 10 comprehensive health

service centers in Shirabad area and the samples were enrolled to complete the sample size.

Inclusion criteria: married women, age 15 to 49 years and living in suburban area.

Exclusion criteria: participants suffering from any diseases that required any specific lifestyle.

The Ethical Committee of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences had approved the study (No.ir.sbmu.retech.rec.1395.244). For sampling, aims and methods of the study were explained to the participants, and written informed consent was received from each participant prior to the study. In order to check the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study, self reported method was used.

LSQ and GHQ-28 was used for data collection [19,20], which were completed through face to face interviews.

LSQ was used to determine lifestyle. LSQ consists of 10 components (physical health, sports and fitness, weight control and nutrition, prevention of diseases, psychological health, spiritual health, social health, avoiding drugs and alcohol, prevention of accidents, and environmental health), and 70 questions on a likert scale which were scored as never (0), sometimes (1), often (2), and always (3). High scores in each one of the components and the whole questionnaire indicate a suitable lifestyle. LSQ is a Persian questionnaire. This questionnaire was developed by Lali, Abedi and Kajbaf and its validity and reliability were approved. Cronbach's alpha of LSQ reported between 0.76 to 0.89 and its reliability coefficient was between 0.84 to 0.94 [19].

General health was measured by the GHQ-28. GHQ-28 consists of four subscales (somatic symptoms, anxiety-Insomnia, social dysfunction, and severe depression) and 28 items. Scoring items of this questionnaire is based on likert scale (0,1,2,3) [21]. In this study, Persian version of GHQ-28 was used that its reliability and validity were investigated by Nazifi and Mokarami. Cronbach's alpha of Persian version of GHQ-28 reported between 0.74 to 0.92. In any subscales, score \geq 7 and total scale score \geq 23 indicate disease symptoms [20].

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was performed using software SPSS-19.0. Frequencies, percent frequencies, mean, and standard deviation was used to describe demographic variables, lifestyle and general health score. Pearson's correlation coefficient and multivariate regression analysis were used to assess the association between the lifestyle and general health status score. The statistical significance level was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

A total of 132 women participated in this study. Sociodemographic characteristics of participants are presented in [Table/Fig-1]. Mean age was 26.54±6.65, and mean age of their husbands was 31.96±8.12. Mean Body Mass Index (BMI) of subjects was 24.21±5.08 and 50% of them had normal weight (BMI: 18.5–24.9) [Table/Fig-1].

Economic situation: To assess the economic situation, the questionnaire had two options: Inappropriate and appropriate. Each person selected an option as their economic situation.

The mean total score of lifestyle was 100.23 ± 20.45 . Prevention of disease component had the highest mean (13.81 ± 2.63) while sports and fitness component had the lowest mean (4.49 ± 3.37) [Table/Fig-2].

The mean GHQ-28 total score was 28.46 ± 16.41 .Somatic symptoms component had the highest mean (9.12 ± 6.47) while severe depression component had the lowest mean (5.28 ± 5.49). More than half of participants (58.3%) had disease symptoms [Table/Fig-3].

Socio-demographic characteristics	Number (%)				
Education					
Illiterate	36 (27.3%)				
Primary	83 (62.9%)				
High school	13 (9.8%)				
Employment status					
Housewife	127 (96.2%)				
Employed	5 (3.8%)				
Husband's education					
Illiterate	30 (22.7%)				
Primary	70 (53.1%)				
High school	32 (24.2%)				
Employment status of husband					
Unemployed	27 (20.5%)				
Employed	105 (79.5%)				
Economic situation (subjective evaluation)					
Inappropriate	78 (59.1%)				
Appropriate	54 (40.9%)				
Body mass index (kg/m2)					
< 18.5	14 (10.6%)				
18.5–24.9	66 (50%)				
25–29.9	32 (24.2%)				
30≤	20 (15.2%)				
[Table/Fig-1]: Sociodemographic characteristics	of participants.				

Economic situation: To assess the economic situation, the questionnaire had two options: Inappropriate and appropriate. Each person selected an option as their economic

Component variable	Mean± SD						
Physical health	11.56± 3.60						
Sports and fitness	4.49±3.37						
Weight control and nutrition	8.75±4.12						
Prevention of diseases	13.81±2.63						
Psychological health	9.98±3.57						
Spiritual health	9.36±3.54						
Social health	10.33±3.38						
Avoiding drugs and alcohol	13.04±2.97						
Prevention of accidents	6.84±2.75						
Environmental health	12.03±3.28						
Lifestyle	100.23±20.45						
[Table/Fig-2]: Descriptive statistics of lifestyle components of participants.							

GHQ-28 subscale	Mean±SD	Healthy	unhealthy					
	Mean±SD	Number (%)	Number (%)					
Somatic symptoms	9.12±6.47	53 (40.2%)	79 (59.8%)					
Anxiety-Insomnia	7.21±5.46	63 (47.7%)	69 (52.3%)					
Social dysfunction	6.78±3.92	65 (49.2%)	67 (50.8%)					
Severe depression	5.28±5.49	89 (67.4%)	43 (32.6%)					
GHQ-28 total scale	28.46±16.41	55 (41.6%)	77 (58.3%)					
[Table/Fig-3]: Descriptive statistics of general health of participants								

Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis was used to assess the association between the lifestyle and general health status score. As shown in [Table/Fig-4], a negative significant correlation existed among lifestyle and GHQ-28 total score.

To estimate the effect of lifestyle components on general health score, multivariate regression analysis was used.

According to the results present in [Table/Fig-5], Among the items entered into the multivariate regression analysis, the beta coefficient was significant in education, sports and fitness, and psychological health. As a result among the regression predictor

GHQ Variables of lifestyle	Physical health	Sports and fit- ness	Weight control and nutrition	Preven- tion of diseases	Psycho- logical health	Spiritual health	Social health	Avoiding drugs and alcohol	Preven- tion of acci- dents	Environ- mental health	Lifestyle	GHQ-28 total scale
Physical health	1											
Sports and fitness	0.548**	1										
Weight control and nutrition	0.297**	0.167	1									
Prevention of diseases	0.318**	0.368**	0.456**	1								
Psychological health	0.509**	0.363**	0.376**	0.540**	1							
Spiritual health	0.213*	0.145	0.163	0.377**	0.594**	1						
Social health	0.291**	0.096	0.318**	0.304**	0.608**	0.567**	1					
Avoiding drugs and alcohol	0.143	0.250**	0.005	0.191*	0.342**	0.357**	0.384**	1				
Prevention of accidents	0.293**	0.204*	0.238**	0.253**	0.499**	0.256**	0.480**	0.277**	1			
Environmental health	0.175*	-0.091	0.229**	0.084	0.316**	0.378**	0.407**	0.075	0.490**	1		
Lifestyle	0.630**	0.496**	0.556**	0.623**	0.841**	0.661**	0.726**	0.472**	0.630**	0.498**	1	
GHQ-28 total scale	-0.499**	-0.4380	-0.273**	-0.321**	-0.597**	-0.319**	-0.448**	-0.271**	-0.402**	-0.218**	-0.619**	1

[Table/Fig-4]: Correlation matrix for lifestyle component with general health **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level, *. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level

Univariate regression						Multivariate regression					
Predictors	Unstandardized Coefficients			95% Confidence Interval for B		Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	95% Confidence Interval for B			
	В	Beta	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	p-value	В	Beta	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	p-value	
(Constant)						77.388		56.700	98.076	<0.001	
Age	0.283	0.115	-0.142	0.708	0.19	-0.250	-0.101	-0.589	0.089	0.146	
Education Primary High school	-8.165	-0.291	-12.816	-3.515	0.001	-10.595 -7.242	-0.313 -0.132	-15.529 -15.587	-5.660 1.103	<0.001 0.088	
Employment status	15.942	0.186	1.334	30.550	0.033	2.981	0.035	-8.349	14.311	0.603	
Employment status of husband	-8.498	-0.210	-15.379	-1.618	0.016	-4.054	-0.100	-10.556	2.449	0.219	
Economic situation	-8.602	-0.192	0	0	0.027	3.796	0.114	-1.297	8.888	0.143	
Body mass index (kg/m²)	0.045	0.014	-0.515	0.605	0.875						
Physical health	-2.276	-0.499	-2.961	-1.591	<0.001	-0.750	-0.165	-1.543	0.043	0.064	
Sports and fitness	-2.128	-0.438	-2.886	-1.370	<0.001	-1.036	-0.213	-1.856	-0.215	0.014	
Weight control and nutrition	-1.087	-0.273	-1.752	-0.422	0.002	-0.117	-0.029	-0.737	0.502	0.708	
Prevention of diseases	-2.001	-0.321	-3.025	-0.997	<0.001	0.310	0.050	-0.750	1.369	0.564	
Psychological health	-2.741	-0.597	-3.380	-2.102	<0.001	-1.649	-0.359	-2.658	-0.639	0.002	
Spiritual health	-1.481	-0.319	-2.244	-0.719	<0.001	0.398	0.086	-0.481	1.278	0.372	
Social health	-2.173	-0.448	-2.297	-1.420	<0.001	-0.656	-0.135	-1.561	0.249	0.154	
Avoiding drugs and alcohol	-1.497	-0.271	-2.418	-0.576	0.002	-0.100	-0.018	-0.938	0.738	0.814	
Prevention of accidents	-2.397	-0.402	-3.346	-1.499	<0.001	-0.193	-0.032	-1.246	0.861	0.718	
Environmental health	-1.089	-0.218	-1.395	-0.243	0.012	-0.095	-0.019	-0.920	0.729	0.819	

[Table/Fig-5]: B and Beta Coefficients, p-values, and confidence interval for predictors of GHQ total. * In univariate regression analysis, p-value < 0.2 was set as a threshold level of significance to include the variable into the multivariable regression model and in multivariable regression analysis, a p-value

< 0.05 was considered statistically significant

variables, education, the sports and fitness and psychological health components can reversely predict the GHQ score [Table/Fig-5].

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to evaluate the relation between lifestyles and general health in suburban women of Shirabad, Zahedan. This study demonstrated suburban women had poor lifestyle and health status. Also, our findings showed that there was a significant correlation between lifestyle and general health. The regression analysis showed that, among predictor variables, education, sports and fitness and psychological health components can predict general health.

In other Iranian population, average lifestyle has been investigated by LSQ [19,22,23]. In Bandar Abbas oil refining company employees, the mean LSQ was 148.15±13.45 [22], mean of LSQ in samples

of Tehran was 142.31 \pm 23.14 [23] and in teachers of Isfahan was 145.47 \pm 22.01 [19]. In all three studies, the average score of LSQ was higher than the suburban women of Shirabad.

Ardian N et al., in marginal settlers of Yazd showed, mean score of GHQ-28 was 17.04±9.54. 23% of them had scores in danger of mental health problems level [24]. In suburban of Thailand, prevalence of social dysfunction, abnormal somatic symptoms, depression, anxiety and insomnia were 39.7%, 7.4%, 0% and 4.4% respectively [25]. Ghaffari E et al., showed 40.4% of women and 33.5% of men in poor social district of Gorgan had psychological disorders [26]. Subbaraman R et al., reported in Indian slum, 23% of participant got score at risk for having a common mental disorder [27]. Compared to other studies, in our study mean GHQ-28 score were higher [24-26]. Issues such as employment, housing, economic situation, income and poverty in these people were linked with low level of their general health [24,26,27].

Results of present study indicated that, there was a significant correlation between lifestyle and general health. Studies in Iran and Korea are consistent with the results of our study and showed a significant relationship between lifestyle and general health. In these studies; sleeping hours, nutrition, breakfast consumption, eating habits, balanced mental stress, stress management, smoking, walking and exercise had significant correlation with health status [28,29].

Our results indicated that, education level can predict general health. Many studies have shown a strong relationship between health and education [30,31]. With increasing education level, healthier and longer life is expected [31]. There is a strong link between education, determinants of health and behaviour of the person. People with higher education levels are less likely to have high-risk behaviors. These people are less exposed to high-risk environments and use more prevention services [32]. In our study 77 people (58%) of subjects had poor health [Table/Fig-3], 72 people of them had primary education or illiterate [Table/Fig-1].

Based on our study, sports and fitness component can predict general health. While Roohafza H et al., in a cross-sectional study in Isfahan stated physical activity had no association with GHQ score [33]. Nasr-e Azadani Z et al., finding is consistent with results of current study. In their study, lifestyle was related with general health and sports was a predictor of general health [34].

Studies show that, moderate-intensity exercise lead to improvement of mental and physical health [35], quality of life [36], general health, physical functioning, depression and stress [37] and reduce the morbidity and mortality caused by chronic disease [36].

Several studies reported low level of physical activity in suburban population. 23.8% of men and 27.3% of women slum in Brazil had a low physical activity [38]. Only 39% of suburban population of British Columbia had enough physical activity [39]. In our study, sports and fitness component had lowest average among all components of lifestyle.

Most inhabitants of Shirabad are poor migrant. The high rate of fertility, large family size, illiteracy, unemployment, low income, occupation false, inappropriate physical environment, bad housing, lack of sanitation, lack of services and infrastructure and polluted are the prominent characteristics of this area [40]. These factors have effects on behaviour. All of these factors can lead to earn an unhealthy lifestyle, and thus poor health. In most societies, women don't have equal opportunities to participate in activities such as sports. In addition, women are exposed to different restrictions, including structural, administrative, financial, social and cultural. So, these restrictions make them susceptible to poor lifestyle. As there is a significant relationship between lifestyle and general health, poor lifestyle leads to a poor general health in these women.

LIMITATION

Present study involved participants from a suburban area of Zahedan city and was conducted at a comprehensive health service center. For better generalizability of findings, it is recommended to conduct a broader investigation in other communities.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that lifestyle and general health in suburban women of shirabad is low. Also, it was found that, there was an adverse relationship between lifestyle and their GHQ score. Education and sport component are predictors for health status. In the components of lifestyle, the component of sports obtained the lowest score. Policy, creating long and short term programs and implementation of action plans for education and lifestyle improvement can increase general health among suburban women.

Giving importance to barrier of education and exercise in these women is essential. Poor economic conditions, poor social welfare, lack of entertainment and sports facilities are common in suburban areas, offering subsidised or free public services could be useful in promoting lifestyle.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the International Branch Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. The authors thank the Research Assistance and Health Assistance of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. We thank the management and staff of Health Comprehensive Center Hazrat Valiaser and the subjects for their participation. The paper is taken from PhD Thesis of Reproductive Health International Branch Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

REFERENCES

- Rafiee A, Doostifar K, Tavasoli E, Alipour F, Hosseini H, Darabi T, et al. The lifestyle of married women referring to health centers in West of Ahvaz. Scientific Journal of Ilam University of Medical Sciences. 2013;22(3):1-9.
- [2] kaldi A, kabiran H, Mohagheghi kamali H, Soltani P. The relationship between health promoting lifestyle and quality of life (case study: students of university of social welfare and rehabilitation sciences in Tehran in 2013). Journal of Iranian Social Development Studies. 2014;6(4):87-96.
- [3] Frömel K, Mitáš J, Kerr J. The associations between active lifestyle, the size of a community and SES of the adult population in the Czech Republic. Health and Place. 2009;15(2):447-54.
- [4] Khanna D, Kaushik R, Kaur G. Changing dietary pattern and lifestyle on diseases. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research. 2012;1(6):49-54.
- [5] Godfrey R, Julien M. Urbanisation and health. Clinical Medicine. 2005;5(2):137-41.
- [6] UN-Habitat. State of the World's Cities report 2008/9: Harmonious Cities. 2008.
- [7] UN- Habitat. Planning sustainable cities: Global report on human settlements 2009, Nairobi. 2009.
- [8] Weigel MM, Armijos RX, Racines M, Cevallos W. Food insecurity is associated with undernutrition but not overnutrition in ecuadorian women from low income urban neighbourhoods. Journal Of Environmental And Public Health. 2016;2016;1-15.
- [9] Khan MMH, Kraemer A. Socio-economic factors explain differences in public health related variables among women in Bangladesh: A cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2008;8(1):1.
- [10] Hacker KP, Seto KC, Costa F, Corburn J, Reis MG, Ko AI, et al. Urban slum structure: Integrating socioeconomic and land cover data to model slum evolution in Salvador, Brazil. International Journal Of Health Geographics. 2013;12(1):1.
- [11] Department of Health. Provide and promote primary health care program in the form of expanding and strengthening the health network in urban areas version 3. 2015.
- [12] Nirmala Devi B, Vijay Kumar M, Sreedhar M. Prevalence of risk factors for non communicable diseases in urban slums of Hyderabad, Telangana. IJBAMR. 2014;4(1):487-93.
- [13] Oli N, Vaidya A, Thapa G. Behavioural risk factors of noncommunicable diseases among Nepalese urban poor: A descriptive study from a slum area of Kathmandu. Epidemiol Res Int. 2013;2013:1-13.
- [14] Taka T, Tragler A. Prevalence of obesity and the factors influencing it among women in a slum of Mumbai. IOSR-JDMS. 2014;13(4):76-79.
- [15] Fink G, Arku R, Montana L. The health of the poor: Women living in informal settlements. Ghana medical journal. 2012;46(2):104-12.
- [16] Kiranmai K, Saritha V, Mallika G, Lakshmi NV. Assessment of health status of women in urban slum. Indian Journal of Innovations and Developments. 2012;1(4):220-24.
- [17] Nahar S, Banu M, Nasreen HE. Women-focused development intervention reduces delays in accessing emergency obstetric care in urban slums in Bangladesh: A cross-sectional study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2011;11(1):1.
- [18] Krejcie RV, Morgan DW. Determining sample size for research activities. Educational and psychological measurement. 1970;30(3):607-10.
- [19] Lali M, Abedi A, Kajbaf M. Construction and validation of the Lifestyle Questionnaire (LSQ). Psychological Research. 2012;15(1):64-80.
- [20] Nazifi M, Mokarami H, Akbaritabar A, Faraji Kujerdi M, Tabrizi R, Rahi A. Reliability, validity and factor structure of the persian translation of general health questionnire (ghq-28) in hospitals of Kerman University of Medical Sciences. Journal of Fasa University of Medical Sciences. 2014;3(4):336-42.
- [21] Sterling M. General Health Questionnaire 28 (GHQ-28). Journal of Physiotherapy. 2011;57(4):259.
- [22] Mohammadi K, Samavi A, Ghazavi Z. The relationship between attachment styles and lifestyle with marital satisfaction. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal. 2016;18(4).
- [23] Ranjbar Karkanaki M, Asadzadeh H, Ahadi H. stydy the relation between parents lifestyle and their school childrens self-regulated learning and procrastination. Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Sciences. 2015;5(S2):1584-88.
- [24] Ardian N, Mahmoudabad SSM, Ardian M, Karimi M. General health of foreignorigin groups and native population. Global Journal Of Health Science. 2014;6(5):55.
- [25] Apidechkul T. Comparison of quality of life and mental health among elderly people in rural and suburban areas, Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 2011;42(5):1282.
- [26] Ghaffari E, Shahi A, Davaji R, Rostami R. Psychological disorders among inhabint residing in poor social district of Gorgan, Iran. Journal of Gorgan University of Medical Sciences. 2011;13(3):87-93.

Samira Khayat et al., Association between Style of Living and General Health in Suburban Women

- [27] Subbaraman R, Nolan L, Shitole T, Sawant K, Shitole S, Sood K, et al. The psychological toll of slum living in Mumbai, India: A mixed methods study. Social Science and Medicine. 2014;119:155-69.
- [28] Mazhariazad F, Roozbeh N. Evaluation of lifestyle and effective factors on public health in the students of Islamic Azad University of Bandar Abbas Province. Journal of Basic Research in Medical Sciences. 2015;2(1):26-31.
- [29] Cheon C, Oh S-M, Jang S, Park J-S, Park S, Jang B-H, et al. The relationship between health behaviour and general health status: Based on 2011 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Osong public health and research perspectives. 2014;5(1):28-33.
- [30] Silles MA. The causal effect of education on health: Evidence from the United Kingdom. Economics of Education review. 2009;28(1):122-28.
- [31] Eide ER, Showalter MH. Estimating the relation between health and education: What do we know and what do we need to know? Economics of Education Review. 2011;30(5):778-91.
- [32] Feinstein L, Sabates R, Anderson TM, Sorhaindo A, Hammond C, editors. What are the effects of education on health? Measuring the effects of education on health and civic engagement: Proceedings of the Copenhagen symposium; 2006.
- [33] Roohafza H, Sadeghi M, Sarraf-Zadegan N, Baghaei A, Kelishadi R, Mahvash M, et al. Relation between stress and other life style factors. Stress and Health.

2007;23(1):23-29.

- [34] Nasr-e Azadani Z, Ghasemi Pirbalouti M, Sharifi T. A study on the relation between lifestyle and mental health of isfahan city elementary teachers during academic year 2013-2014. SAUSSUREA. 2015;3(1):553-62.
- [35] Tanaka H, Shirakawa S. Sleep health, lifestyle and mental health in the Japanese elderly: Ensuring sleep to promote a healthy brain and mind. Journal Of Psychosomatic Research. 2004;56(5):465-77.
- [36] Schmitz N, Kruse J, Kugler J. The association between physical exercises and health-related quality of life in subjects with mental disorders: Results from a cross-sectional survey. Preventive Medicine. 2004;39(6):1200-07.
- [37] Atlantis E, Chow C-M, Kirby A, Singh MF. An effective exercise-based intervention for improving mental health and quality of life measures: A randomized controlled trial. Preventive medicine. 2004;39(2):424-34.
- [38] Alves JGB, Figueiroa JN, Alves LV. Prevalence and predictors of physical inactivity in a slum in Brazil. J Urban Health. 2011;88(1):168-75.
- [39] Anderson GS, Snodgrass J, Elliott B. Determining physical activity patterns of suburban British Columbia residents. Can J Public Health. 2007;98(1):70-73.
- [40] Shibani Amin A, GHolami M. Informal settlements, causes, consequences and solutions case study: Shirabad Zahedan. 3rd urban planning and Management Conference. 2011:1-13.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Ph.D. Student in Reproductive Health, Department of Midwifery and Reproductive Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department of Midwifery and Reproductive Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
- 3. Associate Professor, Department of Nursing, Community Nursing Research Center, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran.
- 4. Assistant Professor, Hematology-Oncology and Stem Cell Transplantation Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
- 5. Assistant Professor, Non-communicable Disease Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Mahrokh Dolatian,

Department of Midwifery and Reproductive Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. E-mail : mhdolatian@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Mar 04, 2017 Date of Peer Review: May 16, 2017 Date of Acceptance: Jul 07, 2017 Date of Publishing: Aug 01, 2017